arXiv:1602.06026v1 [math.QA] 19 Feb 2016

ONE-PARAMETER DEFORMATIONS OF THE DIASSOCIATIVE AND DENDRIFORM OPERADS

MURRAY R. BREMNER

Abstract. Livernet and Loday constructed a polarization of the nonsymmetric associative operad $\mathcal{A}$ with one operation into a symmetric operad $\mathcal{SA}$ with two operations (the Lie bracket and Jordan product), and defined a one-parameter deformation of $\mathcal{SA}$ which includes Poisson algebras. We combine this with the dendriform splitting of an associative operation into the sum of two nonassociative operations, and use Koszul duality for quadratic operads, to construct one-parameter deformations of the nonsymmetric dendriform and diassociative operads into the category of symmetric operads.

1. The dendriform and diassociative operads

We write $\mathcal{O}_1$ for the free nonsymmetric operad generated by one binary operation $(a,b) \mapsto ab$, and $\mathcal{O}_2$ for the free nonsymmetric operad generated by left and right binary operations $(a,b) \mapsto a \prec b$ and $(a,b) \mapsto a \succ b$.

Definition 1.1. The operad morphism $\mathsf{split}\colon \mathcal{O}_1 \to \mathcal{O}_2$, which is injective but not surjective, is determined by its value on the generator:

$$\mathsf{split}(ab) = a \prec b + a \succ b.$$

Definition 1.2. The (nonsymmetric) **associative operad** $\mathcal{A}$ is the quotient of $\mathcal{O}_1$ by the ideal generated by the associator $\alpha = (ab)c - a(bc)$. Since $\alpha = 0$ is a quadratic relation (every term contains two operations), $\mathcal{A}$ is a quadratic operad.

Lemma 1.3. *The image of the associator under the splitting morphism is:*

$$\begin{aligned}\mathsf{split}(\alpha) = {} & (a \prec b) \prec c \;+\; (a \prec b) \succ c \;+\; (a \succ b) \prec c \;+\; (a \succ b) \succ c \\ & - a \prec (b \prec c) \;-\; a \prec (b \succ c) \;-\; a \succ (b \prec c) \;-\; a \succ (b \succ c).\end{aligned}$$

Definition 1.4. We rearrange $\mathsf{split}(\alpha)$ into the **dendriform splitting** of α:

$$\begin{aligned}& [\,(a \prec b) \prec c \;-\; a \prec (b \prec c) \;-\; a \prec (b \succ c)\,] \\ +\,& [\,(a \prec b) \succ c \;+\; (a \succ b) \succ c \;-\; a \succ (b \succ c)\,] \\ +\,& [\,(a \succ b) \prec c \;-\; a \succ (b \prec c)\,].\end{aligned}$$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 17A30. Secondary 15A21, 15A54, 16S80, 17A50, 17B63, 18D50.

Key words and phrases. Diassociative algebras, dendriform algebras, Hermite normal form, LLL algorithm, polarization, deformation, Poisson algebras, algebraic operads, Koszul duality.

The author was supported by a Discovery Grant from NSERC, the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada.

Definition 1.5. The three relations in brackets in Definition 1.4 define the (quadratic nonsymmetric) **dendriform operad** Dend; see [1, 9, 10]:

$$
\begin{aligned}
&(a \prec b) \prec c - a \prec (b \prec c) - a \prec (b \succ c) = 0,\\
&(a \prec b) \succ c + (a \succ b) \succ c - a \succ (b \succ c) = 0,\\
&(a \succ b) \prec c - a \succ (b \prec c) = 0.
\end{aligned}
$$

The (quadratic nonsymmetric) **diassociative operad** Dias is the Koszul dual of Dend; see [8].

Lemma 1.6. [8, 9] *The diassociative operad* Dias *is defined by these relations:*

$$
\begin{aligned}
&(a \prec b) \prec c = a \prec (b \prec c) = a \prec (b \succ c),\\
&(a \prec b) \succ c = (a \succ b) \succ c = a \succ (b \succ c),\\
&(a \succ b) \prec c = a \succ (b \prec c).
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. In the matrix of the dendriform relations in Definition 1.5, the (i, j) entry is the coefficient of the j-th basis monomial in the i-th relation; the basis monomials are ordered as in the expansion of Lemma 1.3:

$$
\begin{bmatrix}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & -1 & 0 & 0\\
0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1\\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0
\end{bmatrix}
$$

To compute the matrix of relations for the Koszul dual operad, we first right-multiply by the block diagonal matrix $\operatorname{diag}(I_4, -I_4)$, changing the signs of columns 5–8 corresponding to the second placement of parentheses; see [11, Ch. 7]:

$$
A = \begin{bmatrix}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0\\
0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1\\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0
\end{bmatrix}
$$

From this we compute a basis for the null space consisting of short vectors. To find a short basis for the null space of an $m \times n$ integer matrix A of rank r we use integer Gaussian elimination to construct an invertible $n \times n$ integer matrix U for which UA^t is the HNF (Hermite normal form) of the transpose A^t, extract the submatrix N consisting of the last $n-r$ rows of U, and use the LLL (Lenstra-Lenstra-Lovász) algorithm for lattice basis reduction on the rows of N; see [2, 4]. We obtain:

$$
N = \begin{bmatrix}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0\\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0\\
0 & 1 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0\\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1\\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0
\end{bmatrix}
$$

This is the coefficient matrix for the diassociative relations. □

Definition 1.7. In Lemma 1.6, the first (second) pair of equations are **left (right) associativity** and the **left (right) bar identity**; the last is **inner associativity**.

General references for algebraic operads are [11] for theory and [3] for algorithms.

2. Polarization of the associative operad

We take a different approach from [7, 12] which emphasizes the method by which the shortest defining relations for the polarization of an operation may be discovered using the HNF and LLL algorithms.

Definition 2.1. As in Definition 1.1 we write an operation ab as the sum of two other operations, but now these two operations are defined in terms of ab; they are the (scaled) **Lie bracket** $[a,b]$ and the **Jordan product** $a \circ b$:

$$[a,b] = \tfrac{1}{2}(ab - ba), \qquad a \circ b = \tfrac{1}{2}(ab + ba), \qquad ab = [a,b] + a \circ b.$$

The Lie bracket is anti-commutative, $[b,a] = -[a,b]$, and the Jordan product is commutative, $b \circ a = a \circ b$; hence the use of the term **polarization**: these two new operations are eigenvectors for the transposition of the arguments in ab.

Notation 2.2. We have passed from the nonsymmetric operad $\mathcal{O}_1$ to its symmetrization $\mathcal{SO}_1$: we have introduced permutations of the arguments. The subspace $\mathcal{O}_1(3)$ of arity 3 in $\mathcal{O}_1$ has dimension 2 and basis $\{(ab)c, ab(c)\}$; applying all 6 permutations to each monomial we see that $\mathcal{SO}_1(3)$ has dimension 12.

Definition 2.3. Using the polarized operations of Definition 2.1, we obtain a different ordered basis of $\mathcal{SO}_1(3)$: (skew-)symmetry allows us to write every monomial in the form $(a^\sigma \circ_1 b^\sigma) \circ_2 c^\sigma$ for $\sigma \in S_3$ where a^σ precedes b^σ in lex order. The **polarized basis** for $\mathcal{SO}_1(3)$ is:

$$\begin{array}{lllll} [[a,b],c], & [[a,c],b], & [[b,c],a], & [a \circ b, c], & [a \circ c, b], \quad [b \circ c, a], \\ [a,b] \circ c, & [a,c] \circ b, & [b,c] \circ a, & (a \circ b) \circ c, & (a \circ c) \circ b, \quad (b \circ c) \circ a. \end{array}$$

Lemma 2.4. *The expansion of the associator in terms of the polarized basis is:*

$$\begin{array}{c} \alpha^{\pm} = \big(\, [[a,b],c] \, + \, [[b,c],a] \, \big) \, + \, \big(\, [a \circ b, c] \, + \, [b \circ c, a] \, \big) \\ + \, \big(\, [a,b] \circ c \, - \, [b,c] \circ a \, \big) \, + \, \big(\, (a \circ b) \circ c \, - \, (b \circ c) \circ a \, \big). \end{array}$$

Proof. We expand the associator into the polarized basis using $ab = [a,b] + a \circ b$, and apply (anti-)commutativity to the last four terms:

$$\begin{aligned} &[[a,b],c] + [a \circ b, c] + [a,b] \circ c + (a \circ b) \circ c - [a,[b,c]] - [a, b \circ c] - a \circ [b,c] - a \circ (b \circ c) \\ &= [[a,b],c] + [a \circ b, c] + [a,b] \circ c + (a \circ b) \circ c + [[b,c],a] + [b \circ c, a] - [b,c] \circ a - (b \circ c) \circ a. \end{aligned}$$

We then collect terms with the same pattern of polarized operations. □

Definition 2.5. We call $\alpha^{\pm}$ the **polarized associativity relation**.

Proposition 2.6. *The S_3-submodule of $\mathcal{SO}_1(3)$ generated by the polarized associativity relation is also generated by these two relations with only three terms each:*

$$[[a,c],b] + (a \circ b) \circ c - (b \circ c) \circ a, \qquad [a \circ b, c] - [a,c] \circ b - [b,c] \circ a.$$

Proof. Our goal is to find the shortest integer vectors in the submodule generated by $\alpha^{\pm}$, and then from these, find a minimal set of generators for the submodule. We apply the permutations in S_3 to the arguments of $\alpha^{\pm}$ and store the results in the 6×12 matrix P whose (i,j) entry is the coefficient of the j-th polarized basis monomial in the application of the i-th permutation (in lex order). We obtain this matrix whose rows form a basis of the S_3-submodule of $\mathcal{SO}_1(3)$ generated by $\alpha^{\pm}$:

$$P = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & -1 & 1 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & -1 & -1 & 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & -1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & -1 & -1 & 0 & -1 & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & -1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & -1 & -1 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

We want to find the shortest integer vectors in the row space of P. This requires two iterations of the method of the previous section to find a short integer basis of

the null space: the row space is the null space of the null space. We first compute an invertible 12×12 integer matrix U_1 for which $U_1 P^t$ is the HNF of P^t, and let N_1 be the 6×12 matrix consisting of the bottom half of U_1. We then compute an invertible 12×12 integer matrix U_2 for which $U_2 N_1^t$ is the HNF of N_1^t, and let N_2 be the 6×12 matrix consisting of the bottom half of U_2:

$$N_2 = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & -1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & -1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

The squared Euclidean lengths of the rows of N_2 are 3, 4, 3, 3, 3, 3. If we apply the LLL algorithm for lattice basis reduction with higher reduction parameter $9/10$ (instead of the usual $3/4$) then we obtain a lattice basis N_3 for the integer row space of N_2 in which every vector has square-length 3; we have also put these basis vectors into upper triangular form:

$$N_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

We write out the relations corresponding to the rows of N_3, but recalling that these are basis vectors, where all we need is a set of module generators:

$$\begin{aligned} &[[a,b],c] - [[a,c],b] + [[b,c],a] = 0, \\ &[[a,c],b] + (a \circ b) \circ c - (b \circ c) \circ a = 0, \\ &[[b,c],a] + (a \circ b) \circ c - (a \circ c) \circ b = 0, \\ &[a \circ b, c] + [a \circ c, b] + [b \circ c, a] = 0, \\ &[a \circ b, c] - [a,c] \circ b - [b,c] \circ a = 0, \\ &[a \circ c, b] - [a,b] \circ c + [b,c] \circ a = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

We extract a set of module generators in two stages. First, we retain row i if and only if the relation it represents does not belong to the submodule generated by the previous rows: this leaves us with rows 1, 2, 4, 5. Second, we remove each row from the set of four generators and compute the submodule generated by the remaining three relations, retaining a row if and only if the three relations generate a proper submodule; this leaves us with rows 2 and 5. □

Definition 2.7. The relations of Proposition 2.6 are the **associator relation** and the **derivation relation**, since they may be written as follows:

$$(a \circ b) \circ c - a \circ (b \circ c) = [[c,a],b], \qquad [a \circ b, c] = [a,c] \circ b + a \circ [b,c].$$

The first expresses the Jordan associator in terms of the Lie triple product, and the second states that the Lie bracket is a derivation of the Jordan product.

3. Deforming the polarization: the Poisson operad

Definition 3.1. The **deformation of the polarization of the symmetrized associative operad** [7, 12] is defined by these three relations satisfied by an anticommutative product $[a, b]$ and a commutative product $a \circ b$:

$$[[a, b], c] - [[a, c], b] + [[b, c], a] = 0,$$
$$q[[a, c], b] + (a \circ b) \circ c - (b \circ c) \circ a = 0,$$
$$[a \circ b, c] - [a, c] \circ b - [b, c] \circ a = 0.$$

This symmetric operad $\mathcal{SA}_q$ is a module over the polynomial ring $\mathbb{F}[q]$.

Remark 3.2. In Definition 3.1, the deformation parameter q appears only in the coefficient of the first term of the second relation. Otherwise, these relations are identical to 1, 2, 5 of (1). The first relation is the Jacobi identity for Lie algebras. If $q \neq 0$ then the first relation is the alternating sum of the second, but it must be included for $q = 0$. If $q = 1$ then these relations are equivalent to the associator and derivation relations of Proposition 2.6.

Lemma 3.3. *The HNF of the matrix whose row module over $\mathbb{F}[q]$ is the S_3-module generated by the second and third relations in Definition 3.1 is:*

$$\begin{bmatrix}
q & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 \\
0 & q & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 \\
0 & 0 & q & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{bmatrix}$$

If $q \neq 0$, this matrix has rank 6, but if $q = 0$, its rank is only 5.

Definition 3.4. If we set $q = 0$ in Definition 3.1 then we obtain the relations defining a **Poisson algebra**, which has a commutative associative operation $a \circ b$ and a Lie bracket $[a, b]$ (anticommutative operation satisfying the Jacobi identity), where the Lie bracket acts as derivations of the commutative associative product:

$$[[a, b], c] + [[b, c], a] + [[c, a], b] = 0,$$
$$(a \circ b) \circ c = (b \circ c) \circ a,$$
$$[a \circ b, c] = [a, c] \circ b + a \circ [b, c].$$

4. Splitting the polarization: deformation of dendriform

Algorithm 4.1. First, we expand the three relations of Definition 3.1, using the definitions of the Lie bracket and Jordan product, into the free symmetric operad $\mathcal{SO}_1$ with one binary operation (not the symmetrized associative operad, since we need to keep track of the placements of parentheses).

Second, we replace the binary operation in $\mathcal{SO}_1$ by the sum of left and right operations in $\mathcal{SO}_2$ using the splitting morphism of Definition 1.1, and apply Definition 1.4 to decompose each relation into three corresponding dendriform relations.

The results belong to $\mathcal{SO}_2(3)$, the 48-dimensional space of arity 3 relations in the free symmetric operad generated by two binary operations $\prec$, $\succ$.

Notation 4.2. An ordered basis for $\mathcal{SO}_2(3)$ consists of 8 groups of 6 elements; each group consists of the permutations of a, b, c in lex order applied to the arguments

(indicated by dashes) of the following ordered association types:

$$(-\prec -)\prec -,\qquad (-\prec -)\succ -,\qquad (-\succ -)\prec -,\qquad (-\succ -)\succ -,$$
$$-\prec(-\prec -),\qquad -\prec(-\succ -),\qquad -\succ(-\prec -),\qquad -\succ(-\succ -).$$

We write vectors with 48 components as 4×12 matrices in which the (i,j) entry is the k-th entry of the vector for $k=12(i-1)+j$.

Lemma 4.3. *After applying part 1 of Algorithm 4.1 to the relations of Definition 3.1 we obtain the following elements of $\mathcal{SO}_2(3)$:*

$$\begin{bmatrix}
1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & -1\\
1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & -1\\
-1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 1\\
-1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 1
\end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix}
1 & q & 1 & -1 & -q & -1 & 1 & q & 1 & -1 & -q & -1\\
1 & q & 1 & -1 & -q & -1 & 1 & q & 1 & -1 & -q & -1\\
-1 & -1 & -q & q & 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & -q & q & 1 & 1\\
-1 & -1 & -q & q & 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & -q & q & 1 & 1
\end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix}
1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & 1\\
1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & 1\\
-1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & -1\\
-1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & -1
\end{bmatrix}$$

Definition 4.4. We construct the 18×48 matrix X in which rows 1–6, 7–12, 13–18 respectively are obtained by applying all permutations of the arguments a,b,c to the relations of Lemma 4.3. To apply part 2 of Algorithm 4.1, we partition X into 18×6 blocks $X_1,\dots,X_8$ corresponding to the association types of Notation 4.2, and rearrange them following the dendriform splitting into the 54×48 matrix Y:

$$Y=\begin{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix} X_1 & X_5 & X_6 \end{bmatrix} & 0 & 0\\
0 & \begin{bmatrix} X_2 & X_4 & X_8 \end{bmatrix} & 0\\
0 & 0 & \begin{bmatrix} X_3 & X_7 \end{bmatrix}
\end{bmatrix}$$

The diagonal blocks in Y have sizes 18×18, 18×18, 18×12 but each has rank 6.

We compute the HNFs of the diagonal blocks of Y; after removing zero rows (for this we write $\overline{\mathrm{HNF}}$), they have sizes 6×18, 6×18, 6×12; see Figure 1. We write

$$\overline{\mathrm{HNF}}(Y)=\begin{bmatrix}
\overline{\mathrm{HNF}}\big(\begin{bmatrix} X_1 & X_5 & X_6 \end{bmatrix}\big) & 0 & 0\\
0 & \overline{\mathrm{HNF}}\big(\begin{bmatrix} X_2 & X_4 & X_8 \end{bmatrix}\big) & 0\\
0 & 0 & \overline{\mathrm{HNF}}\big(\begin{bmatrix} X_3 & X_7 \end{bmatrix}\big)
\end{bmatrix}$$

We sort the rows of $\overline{\mathrm{HNF}}(Y)$ so that all the rows containing q come first, and apart from this, rows with fewer nonzero entries come first. We retain only those rows which do not belong to the S_3-submodule generated by the previous rows, and obtain the following relations.

Theorem 4.5. *The following three relations define a one-parameter deformation of the nonsymmetric dendriform operad* Dend *into the category of symmetric operads:*

$$\begin{aligned}
&(q+3)\big[((a\succ b)\prec c)-(a\succ(b\prec c))\big]\\
&\quad+(q-1)\big[(a\succ(c\prec b))-(b\succ(a\prec c))+(b\succ(c\prec a))-(c\succ(a\prec b))\big]=0,\\
&(q+3)\big[((a\prec b)\succ c)+((a\succ b)\succ c)-(a\succ(b\succ c))\big]\\
&\quad+(q-1)\big[(a\succ(c\succ b))-(b\succ(a\succ c))+(b\succ(c\succ a))-(c\succ(a\succ b))\big]=0,
\end{aligned}$$

$$\left[\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccc}
1 & . & . & . & 1 & -1 & . & . & . & . & -1 & -1 & . & . & . & -1 \\
. & 1 & . & . & -1 & 1 & . & -1 & . & . & 1 & -1 & . & -1 & . & -1 \\
. & . & 1 & . & 1 & . & . & . & -1 & . & -1 & . & . & . & -1 & . \\
. & . & . & 1 & 1 & -1 & . & . & . & -1 & -1 & 1 & . & . & -1 & 1 \\
. & . & . & . & q{+}3 & . & q{-}1 & -q{+}1 & . & -q{+}1 & -q{-}3 & q{-}1 & q{-}1 & -q{+}1 & . & -q{+}1 & -q{-}3 & q{-}1 \\
. & . & . & . & . & q{+}3 & . & -q{+}1 & q{-}1 & -q{+}1 & q{-}1 & -q{-}3 & . & -q{+}1 & q{-}1 & -q{+}1 & q{-}1 & -q{-}3
\end{array}\right]$$

$$\left[\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccc}
1 & . & . & . & 1 & 1 & . & . & . & 1 & -1 & . & . & . & . & -1 \\
. & 1 & . & . & -1 & 1 & . & 1 & . & . & -1 & 1 & . & -1 & . & . & 1 & -1 \\
. & . & 1 & . & 1 & . & . & . & 1 & . & 1 & . & . & . & -1 & . & -1 & . \\
. & . & . & 1 & 1 & -1 & . & . & . & 1 & 1 & -1 & . & . & . & -1 & -1 & 1 \\
. & . & . & . & q{+}3 & . & . & . & . & q{+}3 & . & q{-}1 & -q{+}1 & . & -q{+}1 & -q{-}3 & q{-}1 \\
. & . & . & . & . & q{+}3 & . & . & . & . & q{+}3 & . & -q{+}1 & q{-}1 & -q{+}1 & q{-}1 & -q{-}3
\end{array}\right]$$

$$\left[\begin{array}{cccccccccc}
1 & . & . & . & 1 & -1 & . & . & . & -1 \\
. & 1 & . & . & -1 & 1 & . & -1 & . & -1 \\
. & . & 1 & . & 1 & . & . & . & -1 & . \\
. & . & . & 1 & 1 & -1 & . & . & -1 & 1 \\
. & . & . & . & q{+}3 & . & q{-}1 & -q{+}1 & . & -q{+}1 & -q{-}3 & q{-}1 \\
. & . & . & . & . & q{+}3 & . & -q{+}1 & q{-}1 & -q{+}1 & q{-}1 & -q{-}3
\end{array}\right]$$

FIGURE 1. Hermite normal forms of the diagonal blocks of Y

$$\begin{aligned}
&(q+3)\big[((a\prec b)\prec c)-(a\prec(b\prec c))-(a\prec(b\succ c))\big]\\
&\quad+(q-1)\big[(a\prec(c\prec b))-(b\prec(a\prec c))+(b\prec(c\prec a))-(c\prec(a\prec b))\big]\\
&\quad+(q-1)\big[(a\prec(c\succ b))-(b\prec(a\succ c))+(b\prec(c\succ a))-(c\prec(a\succ b))\big]=0.
\end{aligned}$$

For $q=1$ we obtain (4 times) the nonsymmetric dendriform relations.

5. Dualizing the split polarization: deformation of diassociative

The original references on Koszul duality for operads are [5, 6]. To prepare for computing the Koszul dual of the deformed dendriform operad, we multiply each column of X by the sign of the corresponding permutation of a,b,c and then multiply columns 25–48 (which have the second placement of parentheses) by -1; see [9], [11, Ch. 7] for details. We denote the resulting "signed" matrix by X'.

As before, we partition X' into 18×6 blocks $X'_1,\dots,X'_8$ corresponding to the association types, and rearrange them following the dendriform splitting into the 54×48 block diagonal matrix Y':

$$Y'=\begin{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix} X'_1 & X'_5 & X'_6 \end{bmatrix} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \begin{bmatrix} X'_2 & X'_4 & X'_8 \end{bmatrix} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \begin{bmatrix} X'_3 & X'_7 \end{bmatrix}
\end{bmatrix}$$

This implies a certain permutation $\xi\in S_{48}$ of the basis monomials of $\mathcal{SO}_2(3)$ from their original order, of which we must keep track in order to undo it later.

We compute an invertible 48×48 integer matrix U for which $U(Y')^t$ is the HNF of $(Y')^t$ over $\mathbb{F}[q]$, and recalling that Y' has rank 18, we define N to be the matrix consisting of the bottom 30 rows of U. This is the only point at which the computations become difficult; the entries of N are polynomials in q of degrees 0–8 with distribution $40,22,0,7,13,123,249,120,16$. (We used the Maple package LinearAlgebra for this.) For example, one of the entries of degree 8 is

$$-\tfrac{1}{32768}\big(15q^8+550q^7+2386q^6+5230q^5+5184q^4-14894q^3-16850q^2+5018q+13361\big).$$

We compute the HNF of N; its nonzero entries are ±1, $\pm(q-1)$, $\pm(q+3)$: a remarkable improvement. Moreover, the number of nonzero entries in each row is 2, 4 or 6. We sort the rows as before (rows containing q first, then by increasing number of nonzero entries), extract the rows which do not belong to the S_3-submodule generated by the previous rows, and obtain the following relations.

Theorem 5.1. *The following five relations define a one-parameter deformation of the nonsymmetric diassociative operad* Dias *into the category of symmetric operads:*

$$(q+3)\big[((a\succ b)\prec c)-(a\succ(b\prec c))\big]$$
$$+(q-1)\big[(a\succ(c\prec b))-(b\succ(a\prec c))+(b\succ(c\prec a))-(c\succ(a\prec b))\big]=0,$$

$$(q+3)\big[((a\succ b)\succ c)-(a\succ(b\succ c))\big]$$
$$+(q-1)\big[(a\succ(c\succ b))-(b\succ(a\succ c))+(b\succ(c\succ a))-(c\succ(a\succ b))\big]=0,$$

$$(q+3)\big[((a\prec b)\prec c)-(a\prec(b\succ c))\big]$$
$$+(q-1)\big[(a\prec(c\succ b))-(b\prec(a\succ c))+(b\prec(c\succ a))-(c\prec(a\succ b))\big]=0,$$

$$(a\prec b)\succ c-(a\succ b)\succ c=0,$$

$$a\prec(b\prec c)-a\prec(b\succ c)=0.$$

For $q=1$ we obtain (4 times) the nonsymmetric diassociative relations. Note that the associativities deform but the bar relations do not.

References

[1] Marcelo Aguiar: Pre-Poisson algebras. *Lett. Math. Phys.* 54 (2000) no. 4, 263–277. MR1846958 (2002k:17041)

[2] Murray R. Bremner: *Lattice Basis Reduction: An Introduction to the LLL Algorithm and its Applications*. CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, 2012. xviii+316 pp. ISBN: 978-1-4398-0702-6. MR2829731 (2012h:06001)

[3] Murray R. Bremner, Vladimir Dotsenko: *Algebraic Operads: An Algorithmic Companion*. CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, 2016 (forthcoming). 365 pp. ISBN 9781482248562.

[4] Murray R. Bremner, Luiz A. Peresi: An application of lattice basis reduction to polynomial identities for algebraic structures. *Linear Algebra Appl.* 430 (2009) no. 2-3, 642–659. MR2469318 (2009i:17003)

[5] Ezra Getzler, J. D. S. Jones: Operads, homotopy algebra and iterated integrals for double loop spaces. `http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/9403055` (submitted on 8 March 1994).

[6] Victor Ginzburg, Mikhail Kapranov: Koszul duality for operads. *Duke Math. J.* 76 (1994) no. 1, 203–272. MR1301191 (96a:18004)

[7] Muriel Livernet, Jean-Louis Loday: The Poisson operad as a limit of associative operads. Unpublished preprint, March 1998.

[8] Jean-Louis Loday: Algèbres ayant deux opérations associatives (digèbres). *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math.* 321 (1995) no. 2, 141–146. MR1345436 (96f:16013)

[9] Jean-Louis Loday: Dialgebras. *Dialgebras and Related Operads*, pages 7–66. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 1763. Springer, Berlin, 2001. MR1860994 (2002i:17004)

[10] Jean-Louis Loday, María O. Ronco: Hopf algebra of the planar binary trees. *Adv. Math.* 139 (1998) no. 2, 293–309. MR1654173 (99m:16063)

[11] Jean-Louis Loday, Bruno Vallette: *Algebraic Operads*. Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, 346. xxiv+634 pp. ISBN: 978-3-642-30361-6. Springer, Heidelberg, 2012. MR2954392

[12] Martin Markl, Elisabeth Remm: Algebras with one operation including Poisson and other Lie-admissible algebras. *J. Algebra* 299 (2006) no. 1, 171–189. MR2225770 (2007b:17053)

Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Saskatchewan, Canada
E-mail address: `bremner@math.usask.ca`